

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United. States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,257	04/20/2001	Brian D. Earl	9606-041	1537
20575 7590 11/02/2004		·	EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC			SOWARD, IDA M	
	ORRISON STREET O, OR 97205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 0 1 1 1 1 1			2822	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/839,257	EARL, BRIAN D.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Ida M Soward	2822				
Period fo		rappears on the cover sheet with	tule correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, be period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHESTATUTE, cause the application to become ABAR	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 June 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eigh phonty under 55 6.6.6. g 1	10(a)-(a) or (i).				
٠,,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	• •					
	application from the International Bu	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44 *	,		·				
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Internitory Co.	mman//PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Applicant's amendment filed on June 24, 2004.

Drawings

The objection to the drawings as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) has been withdrawn due to the amendment filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawabe (5,609,497).

Kawabe teaches an integrated circuit socket, having: a base 15; a plurality of electrical contacts 19 in the base; a pressure application subassembly 20-28 including a plurality of pressure application members for applying downward force on an integrated circuit wherein, the pressure assemble members are spring loaded 27 & 28; and a latching assembly 22 & 23.

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe (5,609,497) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Savant (5,288,240).

Kawabe teaches all mentioned in the rejection above. However, Kawabe fails to teach rocker arms. Savant teach rocker arms 51 having surfaces for applying pressure (Figure 1, col. 4, lines 27-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Kawabe with the rocker arms of Savant to improve the socket assembly.

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe (5,609,497) as applied to claims 1-2 above, and further in view of Nakano (4,515,425).

Kawabe teaches all mentioned in the rejection above. However, Kawabe fails to teach truss members connected to a base. Nakano teaches truss members 6 connected to a base 1 wherein, the pressure application members 8-10 are connected to the truss members (Figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Kawabe with the truss members of Nakano so that the engagement and disengagement of the IC package pressing means can be rapidly carried out.

Art Unit: 2822

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawabe (5,609,497) and Nakano (4,515,425) as applied to claims 1-2 and 6-7 above, and further in view of Noriyuki (5,447,448).

Kawabe and Nakano teach all mentioned in the rejections above. Kawabe further teaches a biasing spring member (claim 1). However, Kawabe and Nakano fail to teach removable pressing pads. Noriyuki teach removable pressing pads including at least one cavity 6 (Figure 1, cols. 2-3, lines 12-68 and 1-11, respectively). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Kawabe and the truss members of Nakano with the removable pressing pads of Noriyuki to provide an IC socket in which the service life can be prolonged.

Claims 12 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsubota (5,807,118) in view of Hopfer et al. (5,761,036).

Tsubota teaches an integrated circuit socket, including: a base 1; a first plated (connected to springs) connected to the base; a second plate (under 6) connected to the base; and a latch subassembly 9 & 10 movable between an open position and a closed position and wherein movement form the open position to the closed position causes the first and second plates to move so as to contact an integrated circuit 6 in the socket, thereby positioning the integrated circuit; first and second alignment means 5 and the upper center portion of the base 1; a pair of stationary members (pins below 1) (Figures 2-3, cols. 2-3, lines 47-67 and 1-6). Tsubota further teaches a first pair of locators connected to the base (springs) and a second pair of locators 8 connected to the second plate and wherein the second pair of locators contacts the integrated

Application/Control Number: 09/839,257 Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

circuit as the latch subassembly is moved from the open position to the closed position thereby aligning the integrated circuit along one edge. However, Tsubota fails to teach a pair of flexible arms. Hopfer et al. teach a pair of flexible arms 72 & 74 (Figure 1, col. 7, lines 37-56). Hopfer et al. further teach the arms contact the integrated circuit 32 and align it about its centerline. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Tsubota with the flexible arms of Hopfer et al. to increase efficiency in securing the socket assembly.

Claims 13-14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsubota (5,807,118) and Hopfer et al. (5,761,036) as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of Savant (5,288,240).

Tsubota and Hopfer et al. teach all mentioned in the rejections above. However Tsubota and Hopfer et al. fail to teach camming members. Savant teaches camming members 50 connected to base 20 (Figure 5., col. 4, lines 27-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the structure of Tsubota and the flexible arms of Hopfer et al. with the camming members of Savant to simplify manufacturability.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-24-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to the remarks on page 6-7, concerning the rejection of claims 1-11, the pressure application subassembly as taught by Kawabe does indeed teach a plurality of pressure

Art Unit: 2822

application members for apply downward force on the integrated circuit. In fact, all the elements of the upper portion of Figure 1 apply a downward force when lowered into the closed position.

In regard to the remarks on pages 7-8, concerning the rejection of claims 12 and 15-21, the movement of the latch subassembly 9 & 10 from the open position to the closed position causes the plates to compress (move) so as to contact the integrated circuit in the socket under integrated circuit 6.

In regard to the remarks on page 8 concerning the rejection of claims 18-21, Tsubota teaches that as the latch subassembly is moved from the open position to the closed position there is an aligning of the integrated circuit along one edge in order the closure to occur.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ida M Soward whose telephone number is 571-272-1845. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IMS October 28, 2004

TOTAL ZAPADIAN

TOTALOWY PATENT EXAMINER

TOTALOGY CENTER 2800